UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DWAYNE B. DAVIS, Petitioner, VS. Case No. CV 08-3510-RGK (DTB) Petitioner, VS. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al., Respondent. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC - 4 2009 DATED: DATED:	1	1	0
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DWAYNE B. DAVIS, Petitioner, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al., Respondent. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC - 4 2009 DATED: DATED:	2	2	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DWAYNE B. DAVIS, Petitioner, Vs. CASE No. CV 08-3510-RGK (DTB) ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al., Respondent. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC - 4 2009 DATED: DATED:	3	3	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DWAYNE B. DAVIS, Petitioner, Vs. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al., Respondent. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC - 4 2009 DATED: DATED:	4	4	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DWAYNE B. DAVIS, Petitioner, Vs. CASE NO. CV 08-3510-RGK (DTB) ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al., Respondent. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC - 4 2009 DATED: DATED: DATED:	5	5	
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DWAYNE B. DAVIS, Petitioner, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al., Respondent. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC - 4 2009 DATED: DATED: DATED:	6	6 INHTED CTATEC DI	CTDICT COLIDT
DWAYNE B. DAVIS, Petitioner, Petitioner, Vs. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al., Respondent. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC -4 2009 DATED: DATED: ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE OF CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE TATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE OF CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE TATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE THEREFORE IS ORDER ED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice.	7		
Petitioner, Vs. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al., Respondent. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC -4 2009 DATED: DATED: ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE OF CORRECTIONS, et al., Respondent. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice.	8		OF CALIFORNIA
Petitioner, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al., Respondent. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC - 4 2009 DATED: DEC - 4 2009 DATED:	9	9 DWAYNE B. DAVIS, C	ase No. CV 08-3510-RGK (DTB)
Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC -4 2009 DATED:	10	0 Petitioner C	RDFR ADOPTING FINDINGS
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al., Respondent. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC - 4 2009 DATED: DATED:	11	■	ONCLUSIONS AND ECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED
OF CORRECTIONS, et al., Respondent. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC - 4 2009 DATED: DATED:	12		
Respondent. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC - 4 2009 DATED:	13		
Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC - 4 2009 DATED:	14	4	
Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC - 4 2009 DATED:	15	5	
and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC -4 2009 DATED:	16		:
Judge. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed herein. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC - 4 2009 DATED:			
Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC - 4 2009 DATED:			
Magistrate Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC -4 2009 DATED:			
22 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and 23 dismissing this action with prejudice. 24 25 DATED:			
dismissing this action with prejudice. DEC - 4 2009 DATED:			
24 DEC - 4 2009 25 DATED:			
DEC - 4 2009 25 DATED:			
		DEC - 4 2009	
26			
O_{\bullet} , V_{\bullet}	26	O	Oan Yearn
R. GALY KLAUSNER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		R	GALY KLAUSNER